CARL J. KUNASEK
CHAIRMAN
JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
COMMISSIONER



ORIGINAL

47

BRIAN C. MCNEIL EXECUTIVE SECRETARY PERSONNEL PROPERTY CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE

Arizona Corporation Commission

ARIZONA COROKETED COMMISSION

August 16, 2000

AUG 1 6 2000

DOCKETED BY

DOCUMENT STREET.

Aug 18 11 24 AM '00

Mr. Brian C. McNeil Executive Secretary 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Dear Brian:

I'm in receipt of a letter from Commissioner Jim Irvin, which requests reconsideration of Docket No. T-00000A-00-0194, the US West wholesale pricing docket for unbundled network elements. I've also reviewed AT&T's request for reconsideration, and although I find some of its assertions to be false, gratuitous and unproductive, I am interested in hearing more from them on this matter.

In that vein, I've sent a letter to AT&T seeking information that concerns there present willingness to serve residential customers (see attached). While I understand that this Commission did not include universal price supports in the development of the UNE rates, I do believe the matter is of sufficient importance to the consuming public that an additional hearing on the matter is warranted. Thus, provided AT&T complies with my request, I am willing to grant reconsideration.

Should evidence be presented to this office that would suggest that the Commission did include such subsidies in the UNE rate, at least this Commissioner would consider making necessary changes.

Please check with my colleagues to find a suitable date for reconsideration.

Sincerely,

Carl Kunasek Chairman

Attachment

cc: Con

Commissioner Jim Irvin Commissioner Bill Mundell Deb Scott Lyn Farmer Jerry Rudibaugh CARL J. KUNASEK
CHAIRMAN
JIM IRVIN
COMMISSIONER
WILLIAM A. MUNDELL
COMMISSIONER

ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

Aug 15 11 21 AM '00

August 15, 2000

DOCUMENT CARTERY

Ms. Pat vanMidde AT&T 111 West Monroe, Suite 1201 Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Dear Ms. vanMidde:

I've reviewed AT&T's request for reconsideration of Docket No. T-00000A-00-0194 dated July 27, 2000.

I am most interested in AT&T's assertion that the "explicit purpose for adopting US West's rates is to continue to delay competition for residential telecommunications customers in Arizona."

I'll note that other carriers which include SBC have found Arizona to be a very favorable market to do business.

Having said that, please provide the following information:

- 1. The total number of residential customers served by AT&T through resale of existing ILEC services on a state by state basis;
- 2. The total number of residential customers served by AT&T through the resale of unbundled network elements on a state by state basis;
- 3. The total number of residential customers served by AT&T by any other means on a state by state basis.

Please provide this information in the docket no later than August 25, 2000.

Sincerely,

Chairman